Some Basics of Venture Capital

Michael Kearns
Chief Technology Officer
Syntek Capital

What is Venture Capital?

- Private or institutional investment (capital) in relatively early-stage companies (ventures)
- Recently focused on technology-heavy companies:
 - Computer and network technology
 - Telecommunications technology
 - Biotechnology
- Types of VCs:
 - Angel investors
 - Financial VCs
 - Strategic VCs

Angel Investors

- · Typically a wealthy individual
- Often with a tech industry background, in position to judge high-risk investments
- Usually a small investment (< \$1M) in a very earlystage company (demo, 2-3 employees)
- Motivation:
 - Dramatic return on investment via exit or liquidity event:
 - Initial Public Offering (IPO) of company
 - Subsequent financing rounds
 - Interest in technology and industry

Financial VCs

- Most common type of VC
- An investment firm, capital raised from institutions and individuals
- Often organized as formal VC funds, with limits on size, lifetime and exits
- Sometimes organized as a holding company
- Fund compensation: carried interest
- · Holding company compensation: IPO
- Fund sizes: ~\$25M to 10's of billions
- Motivation:
 - Purely financial: maximize return on investment
 - IPOs, Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A)

Strategic VCs

- Typically a (small) division of a large technology company
- · Examples: Intel, Cisco, Siemens, AT&T
- Corporate funding for strategic investment
- Help companies whose success may spur revenue growth of VC corporation
- Not exclusively or primarily concerned with return on investment
- May provide investees with valuable connections and partnerships
- · Typically take a "back seat" role in funding

The Funding Process: Single Round

- Company and interested VCs find each other
- Company makes it pitch to multiple VCs:
 - Business plan, executive summary, financial projections with assumptions, competitive analysis
- Interested VCs engage in due diligence:
 - Technological, market, competitive, business development
 - Legal and accounting
- A lead investor is identified, rest are follow-on
- The following are negotiated:
 - Company valuation
 - Size of round
 - Lead investor share of round
 - Terms of investment
- Process repeats several times, builds on previous rounds

Due Diligence: Tools and Hurdles

Tools:

- Tech or industry background (in-house rare among financials)
- Industry and analyst reports (e.g. Gartner)
- Reference calls (e.g. beta's) and clients
- Visits to company
- DD from previous rounds
- Gut instinct

· Hurdles:

- Lack of company history
- Lack of market history
- Lack of market!
- Company hyperbole
- Inflated projections
- Changing economy

Terms of Investment

- Initially laid out in a term sheet (not binding!)
- Typically comes after a fair amount of DD
- Valuation + investment → VC equity (share)
- Other important elements:
 - Board seats and reserved matters
 - Drag-along and tag-along rights
 - Liquidation and dividend preferences
 - Non-competition
 - Full and weighted ratchet
- Moral: These days, VCs extract a huge amount of control over their portfolio companies.

Basics of Valuation

- Pre-money valuation V: agreed value of company prior to this round's investment (I)
- Post-money valuation V' = V + I
- VC equity in company: I/V' = I/(V+I), not I/V
- Example: \$5M invested on \$10M pre-money gives VC 1/3 of the shares, not $\frac{1}{2}$
- Partners in a venture vs. outright purchase
- I and V are items of negotiation
- Generally company wants large V, VC small V, but there are many subtleties...
- This round's V will have an impact on future rounds
- Possible elements of valuation:
 - Multiple of revenue or earnings
 - Projected percentage of market share

Board Seats and Reserved Matters

- Corporate boards:
 - Not involved in day-to-day operations
 - Hold extreme control in major corporate events (sale, mergers, acquisitions, IPOs, bankruptcy)
- Lead VC in each round takes seat(s)
- Reserved matters (veto or approval):
 - Any sale, acquisition, merger, liquidation
 - Budget approval
 - Executive removal/appointment
 - Strategic or business plan changes
- During difficult times, companies are often controlled by their VCs

Other Typical VC Rights

- Right of first refusal on sale of shares
- Tag-along rights: follow founder sale on pro rata basis
- Drag-along rights: force sale of company
- · Liquidation preference: multiple of investment
- No-compete conditions on founders
- Anti-dilution protection:
 - Recompute VC shares based on subsequent "down round"
 - Weighted ratchet: use average (weighted) share price so far
 - Full ratchet: use down round share price
 - Example:
 - Founders 10 shares, VC 10 shares at \$1 per share
 - Founder issues 1 additional share at \$0.10 per share
 - Weighted ratchet: avg. price 10.10/11, VC now owns ~10.89 shares (21.89 total)
 - Full ratchet: VC now owns 10/0.10 = 100 shares (out of 111)
 - Matters in bridge rounds and other dire circumstances
- Right to participate in subsequent rounds (usually follow-on)
- · Later VC rights often supercede earlier

Why Multiple Rounds and VCs?

Multiple rounds:

- Many points of valuation
- Company: money gets cheaper if successful
- VCs: allows specialization in stage/risk
- Single round wasteful of capital

Multiple VCs:

- Company: Amortization of control!
- VCs:
 - Share risk
 - Share DD
- Both: different VC strengths (financial vs. strategic)

So What Do VCs Look For?

- · Committed, experienced management
- Defensible technology
- Growth market (not consultancy)
- Significant revenues
- Realistic sales and marketing plan (VARs and OEMs vs. direct sales force)

Who Can and Will Pay?

- Internet composed of many independently owned and operated autonomous networks
- Many subnets embedded in larger networks
- · Detecting/defending DDoS requires a minimum network footprint
- Must solve problem "upstream" at routers with sufficient bandwidth to withstand attack traffic!
- May simply trace attack source to network edge
- Target customers:
 - Large and medium ISPs, MSPs, NSPs
 - Large and medium data centers
 - Backbone network providers
 - Future: wireless operators; semi-private networks (FAA, utilities)
 - Making target customers care; cannibalization
- Key points:
 - Problem did not exist until recently on large scale
 - No product available for its defense
 - No historical analysis of market possible (firewall and IDS)

The Companies

- · Four early-stage companies focused specifically on DDoS
- All with strong roots in academia
- Headcounts in 10's; varied stages of funding and BD
- Larger set of potential competitors/confusers:
 - Router manufacturers (e.g. Cisco)
 - IDS and firewall companies
 - Virus detection companies (e.g. McAfee)
- Technology:
 - All four solutions involve placing boxes & SW "near" routers
 - Differing notions of "near"
 - Boxes monitor (some or all) network traffic
 - Boxes communicate with a Network Operations Center (NOC)
 - Key issues:
 - · Detection or Defense?
 - · Intrusiveness of solution?

Some Specifics

Company Detect:

- Emphasis on detection tools provided to NW engineer
- Claim more intrusive/automated solutions unpalatable
- Emphasis on GUI and multiple views of DDoS data
- More advanced in BD (betas), PR, partnerships
- More advanced in funding (>>\$10M capital taken)

Company Defend-Side:

- Emphasize prevention of attacks by filtering victim traffic
- Box sits to the side of router over fast interface
- Claim there is a "sweet spot" of intrusiveness
- Box only needs to be fast enough for victim traffic, not all
- Don't need perfect filtering to be effective
- No GUI emphasis; behind in BD; less advanced in funding

Company Defend-Path:

- Also emphasizing prevention, but box sits on "data path"
- Need faster boxes and more boxes (scalability)
- Concerns over router integration

Due Diligence

- No company has any revenue yet
- Some have first-generation product available
- All have arranged beta trials with some ISPs
- · Have roughly similar per-box pricing model and ROI argument
- Due diligence steps:
 - Repeated visits/conversations with companies: technical, sales strategy
 - Multiple conversations with beta NW engineers
 - Development of financial model for revenue projections & scenarios
 - Compare with firewall and IDS market history: winners & losers, mergers
 - Conversations with previous round VCs: DD and commitment
- In the end, a decision between:
 - More conservative technology with a slight lead in BD and R&D
 - More ambitious technology with less visibility, but a better deal
- Contemplating both investments...
- ...then came September 11.